



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,716	05/30/2001	Hiroshi Urabe	71369-55968	8909

7590 02/07/2003

Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman
Intellectual Property Practice Group
Edwards & Angell
P.O. Box 9169
Boston, MA 02209

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

RAJGURU, UMAKANT K

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

1711

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2003

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

AS

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Examiner	Group Art Unit

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 22, 2002 (paper no 8)

This action is FINAL.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> | is/are pending in the application. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Of the above claim(s) _____ | is/are withdrawn from consideration. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ | is/are allowed. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> | is/are rejected. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ | is/are objected to. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ | are subject to restriction or election requirement |

Application Papers

- The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.
- The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner
- The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).

All Some* None of the:

- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
- Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

*Certified copies not received: _____

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary, PTO-413 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 | <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

Office Action Summary

1. A response (Paper No. 8) has been filed on October 22, 2002.
2. Claims under examination are still same viz 1-13.
3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakacho et al (EP 45478) in view of Ida et al (USP 6337031) or An et al (USP 5028347).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakacho et al (EP 0945478).

Applicant's arguments filed October 12, 2002 (Paper No. 8) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument on page 3 of above paper that NAACO (referred to as Nakacho in earlier Office action) does not disclose the problem of incompatibility and ^{is} ~~as~~ does not propose a solution for it ~~as~~ is not persuasive since instant claims do not encompass these limitations.

As regards applicants' argument based on example 56 in that reference, it should be noted that examples are only illustrative and not limitative (of disclosed invention).

The secondary references Ida and An have been relied upon only for their teachings of magnetic powder useful as an ingredient in a flame retardant composition. It is the examiner's position that the magnetic powder of Ida and An should work equally well with the polymeric matrix of NAACO unless proved otherwise.

Art Unit: 1711

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to U.K. Rajguru whose telephone number is 703-308-3224. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Art Unit: 1711

U.K. Rajguru/dh
February 5, 2003



James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700